



**Texas Department of Insurance**  
**Division of Workers' Compensation**  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48  
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address:  VISTA HOSPITAL OF DALLAS 4301 VISTA ROAD PASADENA TX 77504	MFDR Tracking #:	M4-06-2144-01
	DWC Claim #:	
	Injured Employee:	
Respondent Name and Carrier's Austin Representative Box #:  TRAVELERS INDEMNITY CO TRAVELERS COMPANIES INC Box #: 05	Date of Injury:	
	Employer Name:	
	Insurance Carrier #:	

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "Vista Hospital Of [sic] Dallas charges fair and reasonable rates for its services. Specifically, these rates are based upon a comparison of charges to other carriers and the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services. The amount of reimbursement deemed to be fair and reasonable by Vista Hospital Of [sic] Dallas is at a minimum, 70% of billed charges. This is supported by the Focus managed care contract."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$22,767.40

### PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "...date of service 12/14/04, this bill was paid per surgical code 62310 at outpatient UCR (\$2,904.43). The lab, radiology and pulmonary charges were reimbursed in and of themselves at fee schedule: 81025 (lab charge) was paid at \$11.05, 76000 (radiology) was paid at \$68.36 and 94799 (pulmonary) was paid at \$48.24. The total paid on this bill is \$3,037.07... date of service 2/8/05, this bill was paid per surgical code 62310 at outpatient UCR (\$1,936.95). The lab and radiology charges were reimbursed in and of themselves at fee schedule: 81025 (lab) paid at \$11.05, 76000 (radiology) paid at \$69.49. Rev code 480- PCT code 94762 (measure blood oxygen level) was paid at \$28.69. The total paid on this bill is \$2,046.18...date of service 3/15/05, this bill was paid per surgical code 62310 at outpatient UCR (\$1,936.95). The lab and radiology charges were reimbursed in and of themselves at fee schedule: 81025 (lab) paid at \$11.05, 76000 (radiology) paid at \$69.49. Rev code 480- CPT code 94762 (measure blood oxygen level) was paid at \$26.69. There was an additional payment of \$69.49 for rev code 370- CPT code 76005 made on 5/31/05. This charge was paid per the technical component. Total paid on this bill is \$2,115.67. Nothing else is due on these bills."

**Response Submitted by:** St. Paul Travelers  
1301 E. Collins Blvd.  
Richardson, TX 75081

### PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
12/14/04	INCL M, GL10 M, PAYT F, TR10 N, DOP M, TR95, W1, 89, 16, W10, TR95	Outpatient Surgery	\$22,767.40	\$0.00
2/8/05	INCL W1, PAYT W10, GL10 89, DOP W10, FEES W1, DUPL 18, DUPQ 18			
3/15/05	INCL W1, PAYT W10, GL10 89, DOP W10, FEES W1, Z014 97, Z029 42, P12M			
Total Due:				\$0.00

### PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on November 23, 2005. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on December 12, 2005 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
  - INCL M-This procedure is included in the basic allowance of another procedure
  - GL10 M-Services billed for radiology, laboratory, and pathology by a hospital are reimbursed at the insurance carrier/s fair and reasonable technical component allowance
  - PAYT F-Procedure/service was reimbursed in accordance with the fair and reasonable allowance.
  - TR10 N-Please provide CPT codes for all services rendered
  - DOP M-Reimbursed per the Insurance carrier/s fair and reasonable allowance
  - TR95-Per the BWC guidelines. The billed procedure or service was reimbursed to the maximum reasonable and customary allowance
  - INCL W1 & W1-Workers compensation state fee schedule adjustment. If reduction, then processed according to the Texas fee guidelines
  - PAYT W10 & W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology. Reduced to fair and reasonable
  - GL 10 89 & 89-Professional fees removed from charges. Services billed for radiology, lab, and/or pathology by a hospital should normally be billed at the TC rate
  - DOP W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reduced to fair & reasonable. No MAR has been set by TWCC in the medical fee guideline
  - FEES W1-Workers compensation state f/s adj. reimbursement based on max allowance fee for this proc. Based on medical f/s. or if one is not specified, UCR for this zip code area
  - 16-Claim/service lacks info. which is needed for adjudication. Additional info is supplied using remittance advice codes
  - DUPL 18-Duplicate claim/service. Duplicate charge
  - DUPQ 18-Duplicate claim/service. These services have already been considered for reimbursement
  - Z014 97-Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure. This procedure is considered integral to the primary procedure billed.
  - Z029 42-Charges exceed our fee schedule or maximum allowable amount. Bill was reimbursed per the insurance carrier/s fair and reasonable allowance
  - P12M-Through a review of original payment & additional information received. It has been determined original invoice was processed incorrectly which resulted in this additional payment
2. This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor's position statement asserts that "Vista Hospital Of [sic] Dallas charges fair and reasonable rates for its services. Specifically, these rates are based upon a comparison of charges to other carriers and the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services."
  - The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined its usual and customary charges for the disputed services.
  - Documentation of the comparison of charges to other carriers was not presented for review.
  - Documentation of the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services was not presented for review.
  - The Division has previously found that "hospital charges are not a valid indicator of a hospital's costs of providing services nor of what is being paid by other payors," as stated in the adoption preamble to the Division's former *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline*, 22 TexReg 6276 (July 4, 1997). It further states that "Alternative methods of reimbursement were considered... and rejected because they use hospital charges as their basis and allow the hospitals to affect their reimbursement by inflating their charges..." 22 TexReg 6268-6269. Therefore, the use of a hospital's "usual and customary" charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
  - In the alternative, the requestor asks to be reimbursed a minimum of 70% of billed charges, in support of which the requestor states that "The amount of reimbursement deemed to be fair and reasonable by Vista Hospital Of [sic] Dallas is at a minimum, 70% of billed charges. This is supported by the Focus managed care contract. This managed care contract exhibits that Vista Hospital Of [sic] Dallas is requesting reimbursement that is designed to ensure quality medical care is provided and to achieve effective medical cost control. It also shows numerous Insurance Carriers' willingness to provide 70% reimbursement for Out-Patient Hospital setting medical services."

- The requestor has provided select exhibit pages from the alleged managed care contract referenced above; however, a copy of the contract referenced in the position statement was not presented for review with this dispute.
- Review of the exhibit pages submitted by the requestor finds a schedule of charges, labeled exhibit "A", dated 04/23/92, which states that "OUTPATIENT SERVICES: 101/401 PAY 70% OF BILLED CHARGES."
- The requestor submitted a letter of clarification dated July 30, 1992 indicating a change in reimbursement to the above referenced contract, stating in part that "services rendered to eligible Beneficiaries will be considered at 80% of the usual and reasonable charge which is equal to the lesser of the actual charges billed by HCP; OR the eightieth (80th) percentile for charges for such services as set forth in the current Medical Data Research Database."
- The requestor submitted a fee schedule page, labeled exhibit A, dated effective August 1, 1992 which states, in part, that the provider shall receive "an amount equal to eighty percent (80%) of the Usual and Reasonable Charge for those Covered Services. For all purposes hereunder, the Usual and Reasonable Charge for such services shall be equal to the lesser of: (i) the actual charges billed by HCP for such services; or (ii) the eightieth (80th) percentile for charges for such services as set forth in the current Medical Data Research database."
- No data or information was submitted from the Medical Data Research database to support the requested reimbursement.
- No documentation was presented by the requestor to support that the referenced contract was in effect at the time of the disputed services.
- The requestor's position statement further asserts that "amounts paid to healthcare providers by third party payers are relevant to determining fair and reasonable workers' compensation reimbursement. Further, the Division stated specifically that managed care contracts fulfill the requirements of Texas Labor Code §413.011 as they are 'relevant to what fair and reasonable reimbursement is,' 'they are relevant to achieving cost control,' 'they are relevant to ensuring access to quality care,' and they are 'highly reliable.' See 22 Tex. Reg. 6272. Finally, managed care contracts were determined by the Division to be the best indication of a market price voluntarily negotiated for medical services."
- While managed care contracts are relevant to determining a fair and reasonable reimbursement, the Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a percentage of a hospital's billed charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline*, which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:

"A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.

- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

5. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

## **PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES**

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), §413.031 and §413.0311  
 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1  
 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

## PART VII: DIVISION DECISION

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

### DECISION:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Signature

Margaret Ojeda  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**